

From: Paul Powenski
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 12/8/01 4:40am
Subject: Microsoft

ANY way you cut it Microsoft used their funds to leverage time, time enough to ruin companies competing with them and time to reduce the choices available to consumers.

Is that the environment we want in America?

They claim they need to right to innovate. But, only if Microsoft does all the innovating.

Why don't you ask Intel how they were constantly intimidated by Microsoft about imaging and graphics initiatives.
IT IS DOCUMENTED in InfoWorld, PC Week and others.

What about companies like Lattice, Sybase, and Stac who attempted partnerships which was constructed to restrict their innovation to Microsoft's terms.

What viable and equal choices do we have today -- NONE.
NOW Windows XP is more stable than Windows 2000 ?. Why don't you get a copy of the launch of windows 2000 and see those claims. I guess Microsoft feels we are a bunch of idiots and have complete contempt for the general public. What about their performance in front of the panel during their anti-trust trial. Does that not say enough?

All they do is shift the problems from one area to another under the belief that all is OK.
Just gloss up and pretty up the desktop and everyone will ignore the problems ?
Their software is certainly not worth what they ask for it.

Office Packages --- when there was competition an office package was @250.00. As soon as the main players went belly up now the price is mid \$400.00 and up. For what. Why can't I CHOOSE how many features I want and pay appropriately. Since microsoft claims to be the software giant of the world is this too tuff for them to handle. Or their arrogance just allows them to just charge us what ever they want whenever they want.

Something significant should be done FOR Microsoft STIFLING INNOVATION.

The whole thing stinks stinks for all of US.